1	Joseph E. Addiego III (CA State Bar No. 169522) John D. Freed (CA State Bar No. 261518) Dylan R. Hale (CA State Bar No. 240898) DAVIS WRIGHT TREMAINE LLP 505 Montgomery Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, California 94111	
2		
3		
4	Telephone: (415) 276-6500 Facsimile: (415) 276-6599	
5	Email: joeaddiego@dwt.com Email: jakefreed@dwt.com	
6	Attorneys for Defendant	
7	JPMorgan Chase Bank, N.A.	
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10	ELIZABETH GRANADOS, an individual,	Case No. 4:18-CV-05535-KAW
11	Plaintiff,	[Assigned to the Hon. Kandis A.Westmore]
12	v.	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]
13	BANK OF AMERICA, N.A. a business entity;	ORDER REGARDING STAY OF PROCEEDINGS PENDING REVIEW OF
14	JPMORGAN CHASE BANK, a business entity; and DOES 1-50, inclusive,	LOAN MODIFICATION APPLICATION
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Pursuant to Civil Local Rules 6-2, 7-1, and 7-12, Plaintiff Elizabeth Granados ("Plaintiff"	
18	and Defendants Bank of America, N.A. and JPMorgan Chase Bank N.A. ("Defendants")	
19	(collectively the "Parties") hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	Plaintiff filed this action against Defendants on August 8, 2018 and served Defendants on	
21	or about August 9, 2018.	
22	Defendants removed this action on September 10, 2018.	
23	The Parties have twice stipulated to expand the time allowed for Defendants to respond to	
24	the Complaint.	
25	Defendants have a deadline to respond to the complaint by November 13, 2018.	
26	The Initial Case Management Conference is set for December 11, 2018. This stipulation	
27	will affect that date.	
28		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff has requested that Defendant Chase review a new application for loan modification and Chase has agreed to review that modification request.

All Parties recognize that reviewing Plaintiff's application for loan modification may resolve all issues currently before this Court.

The time necessary to perform a review of Plaintiff's loan modification application would interfere with the currently established deadlines in this matter.

The parties agree that a stay of this litigation is appropriate to preserve their and judicial resources during the pendency of the loan modification application and review process.

NOW THEREFORE, in an effort to avoid unnecessary motion practice, the Parties, through their undersigned counsel, hereby stipulate as follows:

- This case shall be stayed pending review of Plaintiff's loan modification application.
- 2. Plaintiff, through counsel, will provide a completed loan modification application within 5 business days of the filing of this stipulation.
- 3. All currently scheduled deadlines in this matter shall be set aside pending a motion, filed by either party, requesting the stay be lifted. If no motion seeking to lift the stay is filed by March 1, 2019, the parties will file a joint case management statement to update the Court on the status of this litigation.
- The initial case management conference shall be continued to a date the Court is available after March 1, 2019.
- 5. If a motion is filed by either party seeking to lift the stay, Defendants will have 30 days from the date of any order lifting the stay to respond to the Complaint.

STIPULATION TO STAY PROCEEDINGS 4:18-cv-05535-KAW

[PROPOSED] ORDER

PURSUANT TO THE FOREGOING STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED THAT:

- 1. All current and future deadlines in this case are set aside; and
- 2. If no motion seeking to lift the stay has been filed prior to March 1, 2019, the Parties to this matter will file a joint case management statement to update the Court regarding the status of the litigation.
- 3. The initial case management conference shall be continued to 3/12, 2019.
- 4. If, upon order of this Court, the stipulated stay is lifted, Defendants shall have 30 days to respond to the Complaint.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated this 5th day of November, 2018